OralMedicine

- Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2006; 88(1):40–45.
 Thomson MA, Loffeld A, Marsden JR.
 More skin cancer detected from nonurgent referrals. Br J Dermatol 2005; 153(2):453–454.
- Matthews RW, Porter, SR, Scully C.
 Measurement of confidence levels of
 new UK dental graduates: an approach
 to academic audit. *Int Dental J* 1993; 43:
 606–608.
- 7. Scully C, Kalantzis A. Oxford Handbook of Dental Patient Care 2nd edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.
- 8. Craven P, Fleming P. Referral to hospital: improving communication between the dental practitioner and hospital dental staff. *Dent Update* 1992: **4**; 434–439.
- Zakrzewska JM. Referral letters how to improve them. *Br Dent J* 1995; **178**(5): 180–182.
- Morrow G, Robson A, Harrington B, Haining S. A qualitative study to investigate why patients accept or decline a copy of their referral letter from their GP. Br J Gen Pract 2005; 55(517): 626–629.
- Wootton R, Oakley A. Teledermatology. Hamilton, New Zealand: Health Waikato, 2002.
- 12. Sanchez Dils E, Lefebvre C, Abeyta K. Teledentistry in the United States: a new horizon of dental care. *Int J Dent Hyg* 2004; **2**(4): 161–164.
- 13. Chang SW, Plotkin DR, Mulligan R, Polido JC, Mah JK, Meara JG. Teledentistry in rural California: a USC initiative. *J Calif Dent Assoc* 2003: **31**(8): 601–608.
- 14. Nuttall NM, Steed MS, Donachie MA.
 Referral for secondary restorative dental
 care in rural and urban areas of Scotland:

- findings from the Highlands and Islands Teledentistry Project. *Br Dent J* 2002; **192**(4): 224–228.
- 15. Scuffham PA, Steed M. An economic evaluation of the Highlands and Islands Teledentistry Project. *J Telemed Telecare* 2002; **8**(3): 165–177.
- Cook J, Mullings C, Vowles R, Stephens C. The use of teledentistry to provide GDPs with advice in orthodontics. Dent Update 2002; 29(5): 249–255.
- Mandall NA, O'Brien KD, Brady J, Worthington HV, Harvey L. Teledentistry for screening new patient orthodontic referrals. Part 1: A randomised controlled trial. Br Dent J 2005; 199(10): 659–662.
- Sfikas PM. Teledentistry: legal and regulatory issues explored. J Am Dent Assoc 1997; 128(12): 1716–1718.
- Department of Health. Referral Guidelines for Suspected Cancer. London: DoH, 2000. www.doh.gov.uk/pub/docs/doh/ quidelines.pdf
- 20. Dolan RW, Vaughan CW, Fuleihan N. Symptoms in early head and neck cancer: an inadequate indicator. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1998; **119:** 463–467.
- 21. Scully C, Bagan JV, Newman LN. The role of the dental team in preventing and diagnosing cancer: 3. Oral cancer diagnosis and screening. *Dent Update* 2005; **32**: 326–337.
- 22. Dodds W, Morgan M, Wolfe C, Raju KS. Implementing the 2-week wait rule for cancer referral in the UK: general practitioners' views and practices. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 2004; **13:** 82–87.
- 23. Resouly A, Hope A, Thomas S. A rapid access husky voice clinic: useful in diagnosing laryngeal pathology.

- J Laryngol Otol 2001; 115: 978-980.
- 24. Irving MJ, Lamb PJ, Irving RJ, Raimes SA. Speeding up the diagnosis of oesophago-gastric cancer. *Nurs Times* 2002; **98:** 35–37.
- 25. White DA, Morris AJ, Burgess L, Hamburger J, Hamburger R. Facilitators and barriers to improving the quality of referrals for potential oral cancer. *Br Dent J* 2004; **197:** 537–540.
- Lyons M, Philpott J, Hore I, Watters G. Audit of referrals for head and neck cancer – the effect of the 2-week, fast track referral system. Clin Otolaryngol 2004; 29: 143–145.
- 27. Scully C, Cawson, RA. *Medical Problems in Dentistry* 5th edn. Edinburgh and London: Elsevier/Churchill Livingstone, 2005.
- 28. Bloom BS. Effects of continuing medical education on improving physician clinical care and patient health: a review of systematic reviews. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2005; **21**(3): 380–385.
- 29. Best HA, Eaton KA, Plasschaert A, Toh CG, Grayden SK, Senakola E, Rohlin M. Continuing professional development global perspectives: synopsis of a workshop held during the International Association of Dental Research meeting in Gothenburg, Sweden, 2003. Part 2: Regulatory and accreditation systems and evidence for improving the performance of the dental team. Eur J Dent Educ 2005; 9(2): 66–72.
- General Dental Council. Principals of Dental Team Working. http://www.gdcuk. org/News+publications+and+events/ Publications/Guidance+documents/ Principles+of+ dental+team+working. htm (accessed 4th July 2007).

Abstract

DO YOUR FILLINGS REALLY RELEASE FLUORIDE?

Fluoride-containing restorative materials. FM Burke, NJ Ray and RJ McConnell. *International Dental Journal* 2006; **56**: 33–43.

The authors open this paper by observing that dental practitioners are exposed to an increasing number of dental materials which claim the benefit of fluoride release. The implication of this attribute is that caries will be reduced and the longevity of these restorations increased. The authors then present a review of the literature relating to

fluoride release from glass ionomer cements, resin-modified glass ionomer cements, fluoridated cements, fluoridated dental amalgam and certain fissure sealants. The vast majority of the research reported has been carried out *in vitro*, not *in vivo*, with virtually none whatsoever having been carried out in general dental practice.

Sadly, the paper concludes that, whilst indeed fluoride is released from several materials *in vitro*, there is considerable variability in both amount and release time, which falls off rapidly. Although

restoration longevity, reduced incidence of marginal failure and antibacterial action of fluoride in plaque and saliva have been demonstrated in the laboratory, this has not been shown to translate to clinical practice in either caries reduction or restoration longevity. The authors call for controlled randomized clinical trials based on sound scientific principles, extended over many years, and preferably carried out in general dental practice.

Peter Carrotte Glasgow Dental School

350 DentalUpdate July/August 2007