



Ross M Keat

Jean-Christophe Fricain, Sylvain Catros, Luis Monteiro, Luis Martins da Silva, Marcio Diniz Freitas, Angel Brandariz, Giovanni Lodi, Alberto Pispero, Saman Warnakulasuriya, Zahid Khan and Rui Albuquerque

The Dentist's Role in Smoking Cessation Management – A Literature Review and Recommendations: Part 1

Abstract: In Europe, 29% of individuals identify as smokers, with tobacco use directly related to 650,000 mortalities each year across the continent; roughly 14% of all deaths. From a dental perspective, smoking is directly implicated in numerous chronic, and potentially life-threatening, sequelae which exclusively affect the oral cavity. By familiarizing the dental team with gold-standard cessation advice, it can be ensured that smokers are assisted at every available opportunity. Dentists should aim to give cessation advice to smokers based on how willing they are to change their smoking habit. The authors are developing an online resource to assist with smoking cessation advice. It is available at <http://www.smokingcessationtraining.com/>

CPD/Clinical Relevance: Dentists will see many smokers regularly, and advice should be given routinely to this cohort of patients. By improving understanding of potential oral sequelae and identifying individuals who may wish to quit, targeted advice can be offered to increase cessation success. Brief patient-centred advice ensures the highest chance of delivering successful smoking cessation, alongside safeguarding time for the dentist to examine and treat the individual.

Dent Update 2018; 45: 197–206

Ross M Keat, BDS, MFDS, PgCert, Birmingham Dental Hospital/School of Dentistry, **Jean-Christophe Fricain**, DDS, PhD, Université de Bordeaux, **Sylvain Catros**, DDS, PhD, Université de Bordeaux, 33000 Bordeaux Cedex, France, **Luis Monteiro**, DDS, PhD, Instituto Universitário de Ciências da Saúde (IUCS), **Luis Martins da Silva**, DDS, PhD, Instituto Universitário de Ciências da Saúde (IUCS), 4585-116 Gandra PRD, Portugal, **Marcio Diniz Freitas**, DDS, PhD, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Santiago de Compostela, **Angel Brandariz**, DDS, PhD, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain, **Giovanni Lodi**, DDS, PhD, Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche Chirurgiche e Odontoiatriche, **Alberto Pispero**, DDS, Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche Chirurgiche e Odontoiatriche, Milan 20142, Italy, **Saman Warnakulasuriya**, OBE, BDS, PhD, FDS RCS, DSc, King's College London Dental Institute, Department of Oral Medicine and Pathology, London SE5 9RW, **Zahid Khan**, BDS, MFDS, PgCert, Birmingham Dental Hospital/School of Dentistry and **Rui Albuquerque**, DDS, PhD, Birmingham Dental Hospital/School of Dentistry, University of Birmingham, 5 Pebble Mill Road, Birmingham B5 7EG, UK.

Dentists find themselves in a privileged position. They can access a part of the body actively affected by smoking, even when the individual is healthy. They should therefore be proactive in delivering smoking cessation advice to prevent the deterioration of oral and indeed general health in these individuals.

This article presents a review of current strategies regarding the need for, and provision of, adequate smoking cessation advice by the dental profession and is split into two parts. This part focuses on the oral implications of smoking, alongside the role of the dentist in eliciting a change toward cessation. This means that there must be a basic understanding of how to implement brief cessation advice. The dentist can then impart knowledge appropriately to the smoker of potential oral sequelae. For example, a smoker noticing that his/her teeth are becoming loose may be a crucial motivating factor in

encouraging cessation.

Part 2 focuses on the 'stages of change' approach to encourage smoking cessation, continuing a 'patient-centred' approach. Knowledge of the 'stages of change' model ensures that the dentist offers advice that is appropriate based on the patient's willingness to quit, safeguarding the dentist-patient relationship. It is important that the dentist understands nicotine replacement therapies (NRT), pharmacotherapy and electronic cigarettes to answer any questions which may be fielded by the patient. We have therefore provided simple, yet thorough and appropriate, information within the second article.

Background

For over 200 years, there has been an ever-increasing body of evidence regarding the risks of tobacco use.¹ Links

between clay pipe smoking and malignancy of the lip and mouth were made as early as 1795 by Sömmering,² with later studies linking smoking with lung cancer and vascular disease.³ Smoking was initially an accepted 'social norm' in society, viewed simply as a glamorous habit, with little attention paid to tobacco's addictive nature. The concept of physical, and more so psychological, addiction in relation to cigarette smoking is a relatively new one and it is only comparatively recently that the role of nicotine in sustaining smoking behaviour has become widely accepted.⁴

Smoking is the largest cause of preventable disease and an important cause of premature death worldwide.⁵ Almost 90% of lung cancer diagnoses and 25–30% of all cancer-related deaths are in smokers.⁶ Eighty percent of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease diagnoses are made in individuals who have a history of smoking.⁷ Further damning indictments are made by the US Surgeon General in the report 'The Health Consequences of Smoking – 50 Years of Progress.'⁸ In this report, it is claimed that 'cigarettes are a defective product – unreasonably dangerous, killing half its long-term users and addictive by design.'

It is now understood that cigarette smoking is a manifestation of nicotine dependence in both physical and psychological forms.⁹ Nicotine addicts will engage in smoking to relieve boredom and as a habitual act, manifestations of the psychological addiction, alongside regulating the draw and inhalation that they take of a cigarette, titrating their nicotine dose to their perceived physical need.¹⁰

What risks of smoking should dentists be aware of?

Oral cancer

There is a great deal of evidence citing smoking as the main causative agent in oral cancer.¹¹ Indeed, smokers have a three times greater chance of developing oral cancer, as shown by a meta-analysis of 254 publications reporting a relative risk 3.43 for oral cancer among current tobacco smokers compared with non-smokers.¹¹

Conditions commonly defined as cancer of the oral cavity comprise those classified in the 'International Classification of Diseases' (ICD) as cancers

of the lip, tongue, mouth and oropharynx (ICD10 codes: C00-08). The most common sites affected by cancer are the tongue, gingivae, floor of mouth and lip.¹² It has been identified that smokers often drink higher quantities of alcohol and the synergy of alcohol and smoking in causing oral and pharyngeal cancer should not be overlooked. Whilst alcohol consumption has been linked with oral and pharyngeal cancer, it is the synergistic effect of alcohol with smoking that significantly increases the risks of such neoplasms.¹³

In Europe, oral cancer accounts for 0.7% of all deaths from cancer. It is the 15th most common cancer, with 61,400 diagnoses and 23,600 deaths across Europe in 2012.¹⁴ Of these diagnoses and deaths 42,600 and 17,600, respectively, were reported in male patients.¹⁴ There was a 11% increase in 5-year survival following an oral cancer diagnosis from 2003 to 2013 when compared to 1993 to 2003. In the 2003–2013 group, patients typically presented at an older age, with more advanced disease and more distant metastases. The reasons for increased survival therefore remain unclear, though likely involves improvement in surgical and medical therapies.¹⁵

Four years after stopping smoking, the risk of oral cancer is 35% lower than for a 'current smoker'. It can take another 15 years for this to reach the level of someone who has never smoked.¹⁶ In addition a meta-analysis, based on nine studies, reported a non-significant risk among former smokers when compared with non-smokers.¹¹

An example of a squamous cell carcinoma in the floor of mouth can be seen in Figure 1.

Erythroplakia/Leukoplakia

Both erythroplakia and leukoplakia can present with atypical histopathological changes and are more commonly seen in smokers than non-smokers. Microscopical changes observed are described as 'dysplasia.'

Leukoplakia is defined as a 'white plaque of questionable risk having excluded known diseases or disorders that carry no increased risk of cancer.'¹⁷ They are benign in 80%, dysplastic in 12%, carcinoma *in situ* (CIS) in 3%, and invasive carcinomas in 5% of cases. An example of a mildly dysplastic



Figure 1. Oral squamous cell carcinoma in the floor of the mouth in a 53-year-old male smoker of 20 cigarettes/day.



Figure 2. Oral leukoplakia in the floor of the mouth in a 45-year-old male smoker of 30 cigarettes/day, reported as having 'mildly dysplastic' histopathological changes.

leukoplakia is shown in Figure 2.

Erythroplakia is defined as 'A fiery red patch that cannot be characterized clinically or pathologically as any other definable disease.'¹⁸ These present as carcinoma in 51% of cases, severe dysplasia or CIS in 40% of cases, and mild to moderate dysplasia in 9% of cases. When a single lesion contains both red and white patches, it is referred to as 'erythroleukoplakia.'¹⁸ These typically show the highest rates of more severe dysplasia on biopsy.

Assessing the presence of dysplasia and providing smoking cessation advice is therefore extremely important in individuals presenting with these conditions.¹⁹

Oral mucosal conditions

Nicotinic stomatitis

This typically presents as a greyish-white appearance of the palate, with a reddened, nodular appearance of inflamed minor salivary ducts throughout. The condition resolves following smoking cessation and has no long-term



Figure 3. Nicotinic stomatitis in a 52-year-old male smoker of 20 cigarettes/day.

consequences. An example of nicotinic stomatitis can be seen in Figure 3.

Smoker's melanosis

Twenty-two percent of smokers develop tobacco-associated oral melanin deposits, with severity being dose dependent.²⁰ It affects women more commonly than men.²⁰ The condition can (but doesn't always) resolve following smoking cessation and has no long-term health consequences. An example of smoker's melanosis can be seen in Figure 4.

Oral candidosis

Smokers have an increased risk of oral candidosis, but the mechanism is not fully understood.²¹ This could potentially be due to cigarette smoke, which is nutritional for *C. albicans* (the causative agent of candidal infection), or because the smoking results in localized epithelial alterations, resulting in candida colonization.²² Smoking may also have a role in suppressing the oral immune response and disrupting normal bacterial flora.²³ The condition can be treated with anti-fungal medications and usually causes no long-term consequences except when candidal hyphae enter the surface epithelium causing chronic candidosis. A classic appearance of oral candidosis can be seen in Figure 5.

Periodontal disease

There are clear, well documented links between smoking and periodontal disease. Smokers have a 2- to 3-fold increased risk of clinically identifiable periodontitis. They also have fewer teeth and are more likely to be edentulous than non-smokers. The risk of alveolar bone loss is seven times greater amongst smokers



Figure 4. Smoker's melanosis in a 30-year-old female smoker of at least 20 cigarettes/day.

than non-smokers, and the severity of periodontal disease in smokers appears to be dose dependent.²⁴ There is evidence that smoking cessation reduces the risk of periodontal disease.²⁴ Successful treatment of periodontal disease in smokers is lower than that in non-smokers.²⁴ Increased prevalence of periodontal disease is linked to smoking dampening the body's immune response, meaning that the smoker has a reduced ability to clear pathogens.²⁴

Smoking also increases the prevalence of acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis and acts as a co-destructive factor for periodontal tissue damage alongside other predisposing factors (for example diabetes).²⁴ An example of chronic periodontal disease can be seen in Figure 6.

Dry mouth, halitosis and caries

Smoking has been shown to reduce salivary flow rate.²⁵ A drier mouth results in numerous oral sequelae, with one of these being increased halitosis. Less saliva leads to reduced 'cleansing' of the oral mucosa and smokers typically have worse oral hygiene than non-smokers. A drier mouth with poorer oral hygiene will also result in an increased incidence of dental caries.²⁶ There is evidence to suggest that smoking causes irreversible damage to minor salivary glands, meaning that salivary flow may not increase to original levels even after successfully stopping, making timely cessation paramount.

Wound healing

Smoking is implicated in poor wound healing.²⁷ This is because smoking reduces blood flow to oral tissues alongside inhibiting the enzyme systems necessary



Figure 5. Oral pseudomembranous candidosis in a 47-year-old male smoker of 20 cigarettes/day.



Figure 6. Periodontitis in a 32-year-old male smoker of 20 cigarettes/day.

in oxidative metabolism and oxygen transport. There is also the introduction of contaminants into the wound from tobacco smoke, further inhibiting healing; an example of this would be that it takes longer for a socket to heal following extraction in a smoker than a non-smoker.

The use of any tobacco product is associated with increasing risk of alveolar osteitis, or 'dry socket.' This has, in part, been related to the vasoconstrictive effects of nicotine on small blood vessels.²⁷ Abstaining from smoking following oral surgery/trauma has therefore been shown to reduce the risk of a 'dry socket' occurring.²⁷

Implants

Smoking is implicated in the failure of dental implants in all areas of the mouth.²⁸ Although there is a risk of dental implant failure in all patients, smoking can be considered a 'significant risk factor' in dental implant placement.²⁸

Aesthetics

All forms of smoking and chewing tobacco can discolour teeth, and smokers are more likely to perceive

their teeth as discoloured compared to non-smokers.²⁹ Smoking can also lead to increased incidence of tooth loss and has also been linked to increased skin ageing.³⁰

Smoking also leads to an increased amount of calculus formation which can look unsightly, requiring a dentist or hygienist to remove it.

Recurrent Aphthous Stomatitis

Recurrent Aphthous Stomatitis (RAS), is one of the most common conditions to manifest within the oral cavity. It affects up to 20% of the population at some point in their lives and about 2% chronically. Most patients present with occasional ulceration which resolves rapidly without complications. Others have severe ulcers that interfere with diet and function. Such ulcers are a common result of stopping smoking, affecting two in five quitters.³¹ Reassurance regarding oral aphthous ulcers should be given from primary care practitioners. In more severe or complex cases, referral to an oral medicine department may be indicated.

The role of dentists

As dentists, we are central in dispensing advice regarding smoking cessation. Fifty-seven percent of the EU population regularly visit a dentist,³² giving dental professionals the opportunity to offer information and support to those who smoke. A Cochrane review shows that brief intervention by health professionals is effective in helping tobacco users to quit.³³ This 'brief' advice has been shown to increase smoking cessation by 2%. Whilst this number seems small, it could equate to between 63,000 and 190,000 people quitting each year in Britain alone.³⁴ By referring to stop smoking services, successful cessation increases to 15%.³⁵

The effects of smoking on the body are numerous, but often smokers do not believe anything bad will happen to them. This reduces the incentive to stop, and is also linked with relapse in those who have quit.³⁶ As dentists, we have access to an area of the body that is actively affected. A healthy, unrestored smile is perceived to be an important social asset.³⁷ The prospect of losing this may be an important factor in successful smoking cessation.

Dentists often cite issues such as lack of time or education as a reason why they do not offer smoking cessation.³⁸ By understanding how behavioural management and medical therapies are used in smoking cessation, the dental team can offer suitable advice to patients, helping to increase successful cessation. Members of the dental team can then remain empathetic and supportive during the patient's cessation journey, offering positive feedback and advice regarding his/her chosen cessation modality.

Despite being well positioned to offer cessation advice, individuals working within dentistry do not always discuss their patient's tobacco use. A study by Smith *et al*³⁹ showed that motivated dentists were particularly good at offering smoking cessation to patients. However, less than 50% of dental practices involved in the study offered referrals to stop smoking services. Further studies confirm that dentists are often poor at referring to stop smoking services, or offering brief interventions 'in-house'.⁴⁰ There is substantial evidence to suggest that such 'in-house' interventions are useful for helping smokers quit.⁴¹ When it is considered that patients expect their oral health professionals to assist in cessation attempts, this gives further reason for dental professionals to understand and implement cessation techniques.⁴²

Brief cessation advice; the use of the 5 and 3 'A's protocol of smoking cessation

The 5 'A's approach to smoking cessation is the internationally accepted approach to brief intervention in nicotine users, with this 'brief' advice in primary care settings shown to increase smoking cessation by 1–3%.⁴³ The 3 'A's contains a similar message, but in a condensed format. Before the stages of the 5 'A's and 3 'A's are discussed, it is important to understand that different countries have different protocols to implement smoking cessation, and these are based on WHO Article 14 guidance in the *Framework Convention on Tobacco Control*.⁴⁴ This article encourages the creation of a sustainable infrastructure to promote and deliver increased levels of smoking cessation based on best available scientific evidence, encouraging implementation of

reproducible and successful methods of smoking cessation.

The 5 'A's protocol

These guidelines can be summarized by the 5 'A's:
Ask about and record smoking status.
Advise smokers of the benefit of stopping in a personalized and appropriate way.
Assess motivation to quit (using stages of change model).
Assist smokers in their quit attempt.
Arrange follow up with stop smoking services.

Advice from the healthcare practitioner does not have to be focused on the minutiae of cessation and needs only to last three minutes.⁴⁵ Whilst knowledge of the treatment modalities to result in cessation are useful, ultimately the specialized stop smoking services will be able to discuss any potential issues adequately with the individual.

The 3 'A's protocol

For practitioners who genuinely do not have time, a 3 'A's approach may be acceptable. As dentists seem to be content with the first two 'A's in either scenario, it is the addition of 'action' on responses which increases success rates in quitting. This can be summarized as follows:

Ask and record smoking status.
Advise patient of personal health benefits.
Act on patient's response.
 This very brief advice can be delivered in less than one minute and there is an absence of conclusive evidence to indicate that the 5 'A's approach is more successful than the 3 'A's.⁴⁶

The authors are aware of the need to be pragmatic in the approach to smoking cessation in the dental setting, therefore advise that the 3 'A's intervention is the best brief intervention to be undertaken by dentists. It is easier to complete than the 5 'A's and, if done properly, both approaches result in similar rates of smoking cessation. A flow chart to show a potential patient interaction is shown in Figure 7.

Patient-centred cessation

It is important to maintain the patient at the centre of any cessation attempt. It must therefore be ensured that




Figure 7. A recommended flow chart for brief smoking cessation intervention based on the 3 A's approach.

practitioners focus their approach on the responses of patients. For example, if the patient smokes, and does not wish to stop now, the dental professional must remain non-judgemental. The dental professional could say, for example, 'I can understand you don't want to quit right now. I'm sure you are aware smoking is bad for you, so if at any point you want to discuss quitting with me I would be happy to talk about it.' This allows

patients to plan their actions. If patients are positive in their response, the authors recommend that the 3 A's approach can be continued. The authors appreciate that the 5 A's is a more thorough approach to smoking cessation, however, the 3 A's is easier and more likely to be implemented in the fast-paced dental environment. This intervention should be viewed as a flow chart, dependent on the patient's response, not

something that is simply undertaken with no regard for the patient's interaction. Solberg *et al* showed that a healthcare professional's approach to smoking cessation is central to its success.⁴⁷ Dental professionals should therefore act appropriately when discussing quitting with an addicted individual. Ultimately, the decision to contact stop smoking services can be made by the individual.

Self-referral has been shown to be linked to increased success in cessation, and the dentist can empower the individual to make this decision.⁴⁸

Conclusions

A misperception made by many is that willpower alone is enough to fuel a quit attempt, something which is refuted by nicotine addicts and academics in equal measure.⁴⁹ One third of successful cessation attempts necessitate either medical or psychological therapy to result in a successful outcome and these will be discussed in part 2.⁵⁰

It is important to remember that, even after dispensing advice in the correct way, some smokers will choose to reject the conventional smoking cessation model despite being aware that they may have an increased chance of successful cessation.⁵¹ The reasons for this are often difficult to quantify and are more complex than simple misconceptions or correctable barriers to treatment.⁵² With these individuals, practitioners must simply be supportive and understanding of the patient's wishes and understand that brief cessation advice can offer some, albeit limited, benefit.

Acknowledgments

This project is co-funded by the European Union's Erasmus+ programme 'Smoking Cession Advice: Healthcare Professional Training' under grant agreement N° 2016-1-UK01-KA202-024266.

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

We would like to acknowledge the excellent contribution of Dr Senathirajah Ariyaratnam, Clinical Senior Lecturer in Oral Medicine, University of Manchester for this work as an External Reviewer of the European Union – Erasmus+ project 'Smoking Cessation Training: Healthcare Professional Training'.

References

- Doll R. Uncovering the effects of smoking: historical perspective. *Stat Methods Med Res* 1998; **7**: 87–117.
- Sömmering ST. *De Morbis Vasorum Absorbentium Corporis Humani*. Frankfurt: Varrentrapp & Wenner, 1795.
- Doyle JT, Dawber TR, Kannel WB, Heslin AS, Kahn HA. Cigarette smoking and coronary heart disease: combined experience of the Albany and Framingham studies. *N Engl J Med* 1962; **266**(16): 796–801.
- Cosci F, Pistelli F, Lazzarini N, Carrozzi L. Nicotine dependence and psychological distress: outcomes and clinical implications in smoking cessation. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 2011; **4**: 119–128.
- Samet JM. Tobacco smoking: the leading cause of preventable disease worldwide. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2013; **23**: 103–112.
- Anand P, Kunnumakara AB, Sundaram C, Harikumar KB, Tharakan ST, Lai OS, Sung B, Aggarwal BB. Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes. *Pharm Res* 2008; **25**: 2097–2116.
- Kuempel ED, Wheeler MW, Smith RJ, Vallyathan V, Green FH. Contributions of dust exposure and cigarette smoking to emphysema severity in coal miners in the United States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; **180**: 257–264.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking: 50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2014: 17.
- Ho R. Why do people smoke? Motives for the maintenance of smoking behaviour and its possible cessation. *Aust Psychol* 1989; **24**: 385–400.
- Ross KC, Dempsey DA, Helen GS, Delucchi K, Benowitz NL. The influence of puff characteristics, nicotine dependence, and rate of nicotine metabolism on daily nicotine exposure in African American smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2016; **25**: 936–943.
- Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Boyle P. Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2008; **122**: 155–164.
- Mecklenburg RE. National Cancer Institute & Institute of Dental Research Guide for Health Professionals. *Tobacco Effects in the Mouth*. Darby PA, USA: Diane Publishing, 2004.
- Bagnardi V, Blangiardo M, La Vecchia C, Corrao G. A meta-analysis of alcohol drinking and cancer risk. *Br J Cancer* 2001; **85**: 1700.
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M *et al*. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer* 2015; **136**: E359–386.
- Amit M, Yen TC, Liao CT, Chaturvedi P, Agarwal JP, Kowalski LP *et al*. Improvement in survival of patients with oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma: an international collaborative study. *Cancer* 2013; **119**(24): 4242–4248.
- Marron M, Boffetta P, Zhang ZF, Zaridze D, Wünsch-Filho V, Winn DM *et al*. Cessation of alcohol drinking, tobacco smoking and the reversal of head and neck cancer risk. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010; **39**: 182–196.
- Warnakulasuriya S, Johnson N, Van der Waal I. Nomenclature and classification of potentially malignant disorders of the oral mucosa. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2007; **36**: 575–580.
- Yardimci G, Kutlubay Z, Engin B, Tuzun Y. Precancerous lesions of oral mucosa. *World J Clin Cases (WJCC)* 2014; **2**: 866.
- Poate TW, Warnakulasuriya S. Effective management of smoking in an oral dysplasia clinic in London. *Oral Dis* 2006; **12**: 22–26.
- Axell T, Hedlin CA. Epidemiologic study of excessive oral melanin pigmentation with special reference to the influence of tobacco habits. *Scand J Dent Res* 1982; **90**: 434–442.
- Soysa N, Ellepola A. The impact of cigarette/tobacco smoking on oral candidosis: an overview. *Oral Dis* 2005; **11**: 268–273.
- Arendorf TM, Walker DM. The prevalence and intra-oral distribution of *Candida albicans* in man. *Archiv Oral Biol* 1980; **25**: 1–10.
- Samaranayake LP, MacFarlane TW (eds). *Host Factors and Oral Candidosis*. London: Wright, 1990: pp66–103.
- Kinane DF, Chestnutt IG. Smoking and periodontal disease. *Crit Revs Oral Biol Med* 2000; **11**: 356–365.
- Rad M, Kokoie S, Brojeni FN, Pourdamghan N. Effect of long-term smoking on whole-mouth salivary flow rate and oral health. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects* 2010; **4**: 110–114.
- Campus G, Cagetti MG, Senna A, Blasi G, Mascolo A, Demarchi P, Strohmeier L. Does smoking increase risk for caries? A cross-sectional study in an Italian military academy. *Caries Res* 2011; **45**: 40–46.
- Cardoso CL, Rodrigues MT, Júnior OF, Garlet GP, de Carvalho PS. Clinical concepts of dry socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010; **68**: 1922–1932.
- Strietzel FP, Reichart PA, Kale A, Kulkarni M, Wegner B, Küchler I. Smoking interferes with the prognosis of dental implant treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodont* 2007; **34**: 523–544.
- Alkhatib MN, Holt RD, Bedi R. Smoking and tooth discolouration: findings from a national cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2005; **5**: 27.
- Rexbye H, Petersen I, Johansens M, Klitkou L, Jeune B, Christensen K. Influence of environmental factors on facial ageing. *Age Ageing* 2006; **35**: 110–115.
- McRobbie H, Hajek P, Gillison F. The relationship between smoking cessation and mouth ulcers. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2004; **6**: 655–659.
- Nihtila A, West N, Lussi A, Bouchard P, Ottolenghi L, Senekola E *et al*. Oral health behavior and lifestyle factors among overweight and non-overweight young adults in Europe: a cross-sectional questionnaire study. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2016; **4**: E21.
- Stead LF, Bergson G, Lancaster T. Physician advice for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008 Apr 16; (2): CD000165.
- Watt RG, Johnson NW, Warnakulasuriya KA.

Hygoformic® combined saliva ejector and tongue holder.



Hygoformic® by Orsing - has a high suction capacity due to the 5 holes. The holes are placed inside the coil design to avoid contact with the tongue and soft tissues and will not become blocked. The Hygoformic is seamlessly adjustable in shape and size to any patient's cheek and also works very well when treatment is needed at the back of the jaw.

ORSING

DirectaDentalGroup

DIRECTA directadental.com topdental® ORSING parkell

Smoking cessation: Action on smoking opportunities for the dental team. *Br Dent J* 2000; **189**: 357–360.

35. West R, McNeill A, Raw M. Smoking cessation guidelines for health professionals: an update. *Thorax* 2000; **55**: 987–999.
36. Curry SJ, Grothaus L, McBride C. Reasons for quitting: intrinsic and extrinsic motivation for smoking cessation in a population-based sample of smokers. *Addict Behav* 1997; **22**: 727–739.
37. Dunn WJ, Murchison DF, Broome JC. Esthetics: patients' perceptions of dental attractiveness. *J Prosthodont* 1996; **5**: 166–171.
38. Richmond R, Zwar N, Borland R, Stillman S, Cunningham M, Litt J *et al*. Smoking cessation for Australian general practice – evaluation of best practice guidelines. *Aust Fam Physician* 2005; **34**: 505–507.
39. Smith SE, Warnakulasuriya KA, Feyerabend C, Belcher M, Cooper DJ, Johnson NW. A smoking cessation programme conducted through dental practices in the UK. *Br Dent J* 1998; **185**: 299–303.
40. Needleman IG, Binnie VI, Ainamo A, Carr AB, Fundak A, Koeber A *et al*. Improving the effectiveness of tobacco use cessation (TUC). *Int Dent J* 2010; **60**: 50–59.
41. Carr A, Ebbert J. Interventions for tobacco cessation in the dental setting. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012 Jun 13; (6): CD005084.
42. Rikard-Bell G, Donnelly N, Ward J. Preventive dentistry: what do Australian patients endorse and recall of smoking cessation advice by their dentists? *Br Dent J* 2003; **194**: 159–164.
43. Stead LF, Bergson G, Lancaster T. Physician advice for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008 Apr 16; (2): CD000165.
44. Geneva: WHO, 2003. *WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control*. Available at: http://www.who.int/tobacco/framework/WHO_FCTC_english.pdf. Accessed on Feb 14 2017.
45. Al-Doghether MH. The behavioural interventions for smoking cessation. *Asia Pacif Fam Med* 2004 Jan 1; **3**: 19–28.
46. Dawson GM, Noller JM, Skinner JC. Models of smoking cessation brief interventions in oral health. *N S W Public Health Bull* 2013; **24**: 131–134.
47. Solberg LI, Kottke TE, Majeskie MR, Fiore MC, Baker TB. Patient perceptions: an important contributor to how physicians approach tobacco cessation. *Tob Control* 1998; **7**: 421–423.
48. Borland R, Partos TR, Yong HH, Cummings KM, Hyland A. How much unsuccessful quitting activity is going on among adult smokers? Data from the International Tobacco Control Four Country cohort survey. *Addiction* 2012; **107**: 673–682.
49. Hughes JR. Four beliefs that may impede progress in the treatment of smoking. *Tob Control* 1999; **8**: 323–326.
50. Hughes JR, Burns DM. Impact of medications on smoking cessation. In: *Population Based Smoking Cessation*: pp155–164. Proceedings of a Conference on What Works to Influence Cessation in the General Population. Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph No 12. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute, 2001.
51. Morphet K, Partridge B, Gartner C, Carter A, Hall W. Why don't smokers want help to quit? A qualitative study of smokers' attitudes towards assisted vs. unassisted quitting. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2015; **12**: 6591–6607.
52. Smith AL, Carter SM, Chapman S, Dunlop SM, Freeman B. Why do smokers try to quit without medication or counselling? A qualitative study with ex-smokers. *BMJ Open* 2015; **5**: e007301.