# Letters to the Editor

# Don't forget the 'watch and wait' approach

As surgeons, the authors, like many readers, are keen to address any problem seen with a scalpel/drill/scaler, rather than adopting a more conservative approach. Here we present two cases when the 'wait and watch approach' was indeed the right option.

A 58-year-old male was referred to the local maxillofacial department by his general medical practitioner with temporomandibular joint pain. An incidental finding of an asymptomatic impacted LR3 with an apparent dentigerous cyst formation was seen on routine imaging (Figure 1). A CBCT confirmed the presence of a unilocular cystic lesion, benign in appearance, associated with the crown of the unerupted LR3, which had no intervening bone with the inferior alveolar canal (Figure 2). After discussing conservative versus surgical management, including the associated risks and benefits of each, particularly inferior dental nerve injury, the patient opted for a watch and wait approach. His subsequent review was delayed owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, and at 2 years he remained asymptomatic, and surprisingly, surveillance imaging revealed resolution of the cystic lesion (Figure 3). A further review 2 years later showed no evidence of recurrence (Figure 4), and he was subsequently discharged for continued surveillance in primary dental care.

A 58-year-old female was referred to the local maxillofacial department by her general dentist with an asymptomatic lump on the lower jaw. Plain film imaging suggested the presence of a dentigerous cyst associated with the unerupted LL3 (Figure 5). CBCT imaging confirmed the presence of a unilocular cystic lesion associated with the cemento-enamel junction of LL8, benign in its appearance. In areas, there was no intervening bone between the inferior alveolar canal and the cystic lesion (Figure 6). The options for conservative versus surgical management were again discussed, along with their relevant risks and benefits. The patient requested a watch and wait approach owing to her



Figure 1.









Figure 4.



Figure 5.



IDC





Figure 6.

lack of symptoms and the potential risk of inferior dental nerve injury involved with surgery. Subsequent annual review again appeared to show resolution of the lesion (Figure 7), with no sign of recurrence another year later (Figure 8). At this point, the patient was discharged back to her dentist's care for continued review.

The authors acknowledge the need for continued surveillance with regular review and prompt action should there be signs of changes or advancement



Figure 7.



Figure 8.

of the lesion: however, for these two cases the conservative 'watch and wait' approach meant that the patients avoided surgery with the very real risk of inferior dental nerve injury.

#### **Matthew Smith, Specialty Doctor** H Wynne, Specialty Doctor **Oral and Maxillofacial Department,** Leighton Hospital

### Inhalation sedation for oral surgery

I recently read with keen interest the article 'Using inhalation sedation for oral surgery' in the January 2024 issue of Dental Update (Vol. 51, No. 1), authored by Charlotte Richards and Carole Boyle. The piece effectively highlighted the broad applicability of inhalation sedation, spanning various patient groups, including paediatric, adult, special needs, and those with medical complications. Despite its informative content, I noted certain aspects that possibly merit a more thorough exploration, particularly where the content may perpetuate a few misconceptions.

First, the editorial describes the sedation equipment as 'bulky', a term I find to be somewhat misleading. The

average sedation flowmeter, for instance, is guite compact. Mounted on a fourcylinder stand, it adjusts between 40" and 53" in height with a base of just 20". While earlier models, such as the McKesson Mc1 and Accutron Newport were larger, they are being phased out in favour of more space-efficient designs. A four-cylinder stand-mounted flowmeter typically fits comfortably within the confines of a standard dental surgery set up and is easily storable.

The cost of inhalation sedation is another point of discussion. A standard sedation package begins slightly above £5000, not an insignificant amount, but certainly cost effective when compared to alternative options. This figure can include; installation, commissioning, and necessary training and CPD. When iuxtaposed with the costs of operating theatre use or hiring an anaesthetist, inhalation sedation appears more reasonable, even when considering the operating expenses of medical gases, staff training and maintenance.

When reviewing maintenance, the upkeep of sedation equipment is relatively modest. Most flowmeters require annual maintenance, termed 'field service level'. The cost for servicing an Analogue MDM, for example, is under £200, including an on-site visit. Digital models might incur slightly higher costs owing to the need for annually calibrated maintenance equipment.

The environmental impact of nitrous oxide is a current and valid concern, one that is being addressed through ongoing research, including the development of nitrous oxide destructors for specific dental use. While I refrain from elaborating further, it is crucial to maintain a balanced perspective on this issue.

Staff exposure to nitrous oxide, as discussed in my 2011 paper printed in Scottish Dental Magazine, 'Nitrous oxide scavenging in the 21<sup>st</sup> century', has seen notable progress in terms of awareness and technology, especially in active dental scavenging systems. Modern equipment, when used correctly, has significantly reduced nitrous oxide exposure levels for staff, a fact supported by monitoring data.

Lastly, while the popularity of inhalation sedation popularity continues to grow, there are challenges to address. One such challenge is the outdated guidance in documents such as

HTM 02-01, particularly in the context of dental requirements. This document, issued in 2006, lacks comprehensive guidance for modern gaseous sedation practices.

In conclusion, inhalation sedation remains a vital and safe tool in dental practice. Despite concerns about environmental impact, which are likely to be addressed with advancing technology, its effectiveness and safety over the past six decades are undeniable.

**Janet Pickles** Chairwoman of RA Medical Services, a company that specializes in the provision and maintenance of inhalation sedation equipment

## **Protecting your oral health:** the risks of tobacco use and **DIY dentistry**

The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals target healthy lives and wellbeing for all, at all ages by 2030.1 Close to 3.5 billion people worldwide are affected by oral diseases; however, oral health is an integral part of overall health.<sup>2,3</sup> Factors such as minimal access to dental services, poor doctor:patient ratio, burden of care, heterogeneous distribution of service providers, low oral health literacy rate, old beliefs can result in poor oral health<sup>4,5</sup> and different attitudes towards oral healthcare in various rural and urban regions.

The information technology boom has resulted in much freely available, but unverified information, which may lead to potential risk and irreversible damage, when applied practically. People indulge in DIY methods to save on costs, often ignoring the potential for irreversible damage.

Tobacco consumption prevalence has breached 22% globally, with 28% in urban India and 42% in rural India.6,7 With much land suitable for farming in India, agriculture is a dominant sector, employing 45 million people.<sup>8</sup> Tobacco is a short-duration, drought-tolerant cash crop that can be grown on soils where other crops cannot be cultivated profitably. Low production costs and high export market value makes its production feasible. India is a global leader in tobacco production and derives 1.1% of its GDP from it.

However, tobacco has serious ill effects on general and oral health,